

Expectations from the European Commission

Otto Rienhoff

haegar@med.uni-goettingen.de

Georg August Universität
Abt. Med. Informatik

National Implementations

Our project



From BICEPS to FP IV

- Typical demonstration projects
- Real world implementations need magnitudes more financial support
- Sustainability could not be in focus
- Europe needs infrastructure for interoperable national solutions

Why EHTEL ?

- Similar needs identified at end of AIM
- Still no accepted platform for interlinking governments, industry, research, and all other stakeholders
- Several projects in this direction besides EHTEL
- Concentration necessary
- Institutional funding necessary

Messages from International Interoperability Approaches

- DICOM, HL7
- CEN, ISO
- IHE
- Unified Medical Language, SNOMED
- Needs longterm substantial involvement
- Takes years to be accepted and to work

Interoperable National Solutions

- Identify as many generic solutions as possible
- Specify architecture ...
- Identify segments for European oder international applications which can be financed by citizens privately
- Guarantee transparency

Berlin, G-8 Projects, Final Conference, 2000

- Conclusion: Technology Assessment for IT solutions for health are needed
- Methodology difficult – needs development
- Business cases have to be calculated and published
- **Still pending**

Technology

- Move from technology driven projects to interoperability solutions
- Split investment into real research on one hand and infrastructure on the other
- **Real national implementations are on the way: harmonization is necessary**



Non-interoperability in Europe